

DEC 12 2005**CATHY A. CATTERSON, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS****NOT FOR PUBLICATION****UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS****FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT****JAMES BROOMFIELD,****Petitioner - Appellant,****v.****CHARLES A. DANIELS, Warden,****Respondent - Appellee.****No. 04-35188****D.C. No. CV-03-00934-MRH****MEMORANDUM***

**Appeal from the United States District Court
for the District of Oregon
Michael R. Hogan, Chief District Judge, Presiding**

Submitted December 5, 2005**

Before: GOODWIN, W. FLETCHER and FISHER, Circuit Judges.

Federal prisoner James Broomfield appeals pro se the district court's judgment denying his 28 U.S.C. § 2241 habeas petition. We have jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 2253.

* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and may not be cited to or by the courts of this circuit except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

** This panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without oral argument. See Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

Reviewing de novo, *Miles v. Prunty*, 187 F.3d 1104, 1105 (9th Cir. 1999), we affirm. For the reasons stated by the district court, petitioner has not shown that due process was violated in depriving him of good time credits.

AFFIRMED.